

Panaji, 4th April, 2002 (Chaitra 14, 1924)

SERIES II No. 1

OFFICIAL GAZETTE



GOVERNMENT OF GOA

Note:- There is One Extraordinary issue to the Official Gazette, Series II, No. 52 dated 28-3-2002 as follows:-

- 1) *Extraordinary dated 30-3-2002 from pages 1007 to 1010 regarding Notifications from Department of Finance (Revenue & Expenditure Division) and Notifications from Department of Science, Technology & Environment.*

GOVERNMENT OF GOA

Department of Co-operation

Office of the Registrar of Co-operative Societies

Order

No. 19/4/2001/Indus/HSG/RCS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR OF CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES, PANAJI GOA

To,

- 1) Shri Rajendra Naik,
- 2) Smt. Shrimintami Parkar,
- 3) Shri Santosh Narvekar,
- 4) Shri Vinayak Parab,
- 5) Shri Bhanudas Naik.

(Names of the persons cited from 1 to 5 are the elected members of the Managing Committee of Goa Industrial Employees Co-op. Housing Society Ltd., Vasco-da-Gama).

Read: 1) Notice No. 19/4/2001/Indus/HSG/RCS dated 25-9-2001 issued under sub-section 1 of Section 78 of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960 as in force in the State of Goa read with sub rule (2) of Rule 61 of the Co-op. Societies Rules, 1962 made thereunder.

- 2) Letter dated 12-10-2001 received from Shri Rajendra Naik and 4 other members of the Managing Committee.

- 3) Letter No. 1887/2909/2001-02 dated 20-11-2001, received from the Goa State Co-op. Housing and Finance Federation Ltd., Panaji Goa.

The Goa Industrial Employees Co-op. Housing Society Ltd., Vasco-da-Gama is a Co-operative society registered under the provisions of Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960 as in force in the State of Goa (hereinafter referred to as said Act) and the Co-operative Societies Rules, 1962 framed thereunder (hereinafter referred to as said Rules) under Notification No. HSG-(a)-57/South Goa/92 dated 24-1-92 and classified as Housing Society to fulfil the objects as enshrined in the bye-law No. 3 of the bye-laws of the society.

2) The 31 members of the society vide representation dated 10-7-2001 has alleged certain irregularities in the functioning of the Society stating that the society has acquired a plot of land at Sancoale from the contribution of members which is under development. However, though a period of 8 years has lapsed neither action has been taken to allot plots for construction nor the accounts for amount spent on development work has been submitted to the competent authority and they apprehend serious irregularities in the accounts of the society which works out to approximately over lakhs of rupees.

3) The inspection into the affairs of the society conducted by one of the Sr. Auditor from the office of the Asstt. Registrar South Zone, Margao has revealed serious irregularities such as statement of accounts for the years 1994-95 to 2000-2001 have not been prepared in terms of Rule 58 of the Co-operative Societies Rules 1962 and furnished to the auditor for the purpose of audit as a result of the society for the aforesaid years could not be carried out and so also in the absence of audited statement of accounts, the exact financial position of the society could not be ascertained by the Auditor. The cash book of the society has not been written since 1-4-94 till date. The cash was collected from the members without issuing valid receipts, were spent without incorporating the details in the books of accounts of the society. The work of development of land was entrusted by the Chairman by engaging the services of his own persons without taking into

confidence and knowledge of the other members of the Managing Committee. As a result it is found difficult to ascertain the quantum of amount spent on development work in absence of relevant documentary evidence on record.

4) The proceeding books of the Managing Committee is not maintained on record as required in terms of bye-laws of the society and as such it is difficult to ascertain whether the meetings of the Managing Committee were actually convened or otherwise.

5) The society failed to convene its annual general meeting for the year 1999-2000 as required under Section 75 of the said Act read with Rule 57 of the said Rules within the stipulated period as a result of which the Assistant Registrar of Coop. Societies, south zone, was compelled to authorise an official of the Department to hold the Annual General Body meeting on 29-12-2000 in which a new committee was elected. The newly elected Managing Committee could not take over the charge from the Ex-Chairman, Shri P. Vincent Dias inspite of lapse of over 6 months due to incomplete and non maintenance of required books/records by the Ex-Chairman who used to run the affairs of the society as his own private and personal organisation since there was no control over him from the Managing Committee.

6) Based on the said representation of the 31 members of the Managing Committee, the Assistant Registrar of Co-op. Societies, South Zone, Margao submitted his report dated 30-8-2001 to the undersigned and after considering the said report and satisfying himself about the figures and facts reported in the said report a show cause notice dated 25-9-2001 was served to all the members of the Managing Committee in terms of provisions of sub-section 1 of the said Act and sub Rule 2 of Rule 61 of the said Rules to show cause within the stipulated period mentioned therein as to why the present Managing Committee should not be removed from the office and an Administrator or committee of Administrators be appointed in their place to manage the affairs of the society. All the members of the Managing Committee were also called upon to appear before the undersigned for personal hearing which was fixed on 12-10-2001 and subsequently adjourned to 19-10-2001 with a view to give ample opportunity to the parties concerned to being heard of all persons concerned fully and provide them natural justice.

7) The Managing Committee members at Sr. No. 1 to 5 attended the personal hearing fixed on 12-10-2001 and 19-10-2001 and also filed a written reply dated 12-10-2001 stating that the election to the Managing Committee was held on 29-12-2000 and a new committee was constituted in the presence of one of the Sr. Inspector of this Department. However, inspite of repeated requests, the Ex-Chairman Shri P. Vincent Dias failed to handover the charge of the society to newly elected committee and on the contrary he challenged the Annual General Body meeting held on 29-12-2000 by

filing a dispute under Section 91 of the Act which is at present before the Deputy Registrar of Coop. Societies. However, they have no objection to appoint an Administrator to carry out the affairs of the society smoothly and adequately.

8) The Goa State Coop. Housing and Finance Federation Ltd., Panaji which is a Federal Institution of Housing Societies vide their letter No. 1887/2909/2001-02 dated 20-11-2001 has informed that the said society is not affiliated to the Federation. However, if the functioning of the society is not carried out in accordance with the provisions of Act, Rules and Bye-laws, necessary action as deemed fit may be taken.

On careful consideration of the charges made in the show cause notice and the suggestions made by the members of the Managing Committee, I have come to conclusion that there is no alternative but to supersede the present Managing Committee and appoint a committee of administrators to improve the functioning of the society. I am, therefore inclined to pass the following order:—

ORDER

In exercise of the powers vested in me under sub-section (1) of section 78 of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960 as in force in the State of Goa, read with sub-rule (1) of Rule 61 of the Co-operative Societies Rules, 1962, I, Shri R. P. Pal, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, Panaji hereby supersede the existing Managing Committee of Goa Industrial Employees Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., Vasco consisting of the following members:

- 1) Shri Rajendra Naik.
- 2) Smt. Shrimintami Parkar.
- 3) Shri Santosh Narvekar.
- 4) Shri Vinayak Parab.
- 5) Shri Bhanudas Naik.

Further in exercise of the powers vested in me under sub-clause (ii) of Clause 1 of Section 78 of the aforesaid Act read with clause (b) of sub-rule (1) of Rule 61 of the aforesaid rules hereby appoint a Committee of Administrators consisting of below mentioned persons to manage the affairs of the society in place of existing Managing Committee which is removed with immediate effect for a period of six months from the date of taking over the charge of the society by the newly appointed committee of Administrators.

- 1) Shri Jagdish Kashinath Mardolkar, ... Chairman
Annapurna Niwas, House No. 88,
Castel Vaddo (Patna), Nagoa,
Salcete Goa.
- 2) Shri Siddappa Hanumappa Hadimoni, ... Member
Flat No. TF/2, Janaki Apartments,
Near Saibaba temple, New Vaddem,
Vasco-da-Gama.

- 3) Smt. Sangeeta Santosh Narvekar, ... Member
House No. 26, Non-Mon, Dempo Bhat,
Vasco-da-Gama.

The Committee of Administrators shall take necessary steps to hold the election of the Managing Committee of the Goa Industrial Employees Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., Vasco at the earliest possible.

Given under my hand and seal of this office on this 28th day of November, 2001.

R. P. Pal, Registrar of Co-op. Societies, Goa.

Panaji, 28th November, 2001.

Order

No. 1-2001-TS-12-RCS

- Read: 1) This office order No. 1-2001-TS-12-RCS dated 30-4-2001.
- 2) Letter No. 13/8/71/Vishal-HSG/ARCS/CZ dated 2-11-2001 from Assistant Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Central Zone, Panaji.

By virtue of powers vested in me under sub-section (1) of Section 78 of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960 as in force in this State, read with Sub-Rule (1) of Rule 61 of the Co-operative Societies Rules, 1962, I, Shri R. P. Pal, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, hereby extend the period of Administrator of Vishal Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., St. Inez, Panaji Goa for a further period of six months w.e.f. 24-11-2001. The Administrator shall take necessary steps to hold the election to the Managing Committee of the society to facilitate the handing over the charge of the society to the elected Managing Committee before expiry of the term of the Administrator.

R. P. Pal, Registrar of Co-op. Societies, Goa.

Panaji, 23rd November, 2001.

Order

No. 23-1-93-TS-Vol.XIII-RCS

- Read: 1) Letter No. 11/14/00-TS/856 dated 19-9-2001 from the Assistant Registrar of Co-operative Societies, North Zone, Mapusa Goa.
- 2) Letter No. 11/14/00-TS/911 dated 28-9-2001 from the Assistant Registrar of Co-operative Societies, North Zone, Mapusa.
- 3) This office order of even number dated 18-10-2001.
- 4) Letter dated 28-10-2001 received from Shri Shashikant V. Gaonkar & 7 other members of Shri Kelbai Pani Vantap Vya. Sahakari Sauntha Maryadit Morle, Satari Goa.

In partial modification of this office order of even number dated 18-10-2001 referred to at 3 above and in

exercise of the powers vested in me under sub-clauses of (b) and (e) (i) of sub-section (1) of Section 77A of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960 as in force in the State of Goa, I, R. P. Pal, Registrar of Co-operative Societies Goa, hereby appoint Shri Rajaram Shaba Kansar as a member of the Managing Committee of Shri Kelbai Pani Vantap Vya. Sahakari Sauntha Maryadit Morle, Satari Goa in place of Shri Yesso Rama Morlekar, appointed vide order referred to at 3 above.

This order shall come in force with immediate effect.

R. P. Pal, Registrar of Co-op. Societies, Goa.

Panaji, 21st November, 2001.

Order

No. 16/3/2001/GCMSF/TS/RCS

(Before the Registrar of Co-op. Societies, Goa)

In the matter of notice under Section 78 of the Maharashtra Co-op. Societies Act, 1960 as in force in the State of Goa read with Rule 61(2) of the Co-op. Societies Rules, 1962.

- Read: 1. Status Report dated 29-5-2001 from the Managing Director, the Goa Co-op. Marketing and Supply Federation Ltd., Panaji.
2. Show Cause Notice No. 16/3/2001/GCMSF/TS/RCS dated 9-10-2001 issued under Section 78 of the Maharashtra Co-op. Societies Act, 1960 as in force in the State of Goa read with sub-rule (2) of rule 61 of the Co-op. Societies Rules, 1962 made thereunder.
3. Letter dated 15-10-2001 from Shri Sahadeo B. Shetye, Director of the Goa Co-op. Marketing and Supply Federation Ltd., Panaji.
4. Letters dated 16-10-2001 from Shri Mahadeo N. Naik and Shri Anand P. Naik, Directors of the Goa Co-op. Marketing and Supply Federation Ltd., Panaji.
5. Replies dated 24-10-2001 from Respondents at Sr. No. 1, 2 and 5 and 3, 6 and 7.
6. Letters of resignation dated 6-11-2001 from Shri Mahadeo N. Naik, Shri Anand P. Naik, Directors of the Goa Co-op. Marketing and Supply Federation Ltd., Panaji.
7. Replies from Learned Advocates dated 9-11-2001 on behalf of Respondents at Sr. No. 1, 2 & 5 and 3, 6 & 7.
8. Letter No. GSCB/I&A/2001-02/7162 dated 22-11-2001 from Acting Chief Executive, the Goa State Co-op. Bank Ltd., Panaji.
9. Letter dated 29-11-2001 from Shri Rajesh T. Patnekar, Chairman of the Goa Co-op. Marketing and Supply Federation Ltd., Panaji.

Whereas the show cause notice dated 9-10-2001 under Section 78 of the Maharashtra Co-op. Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa and in the terms of sub-rule (2) of Rule 61 of the Co-op. Societies Rules, 1962 (hereinafter referred to as the 'said Act' and the 'said Rules' respectively) was issued to all the Directors of the Goa Co-op. Marketing and Supply Federation Ltd., Panaji (hereinafter referred to as the 'Federation') calling upon them to show cause as to why the Board of Directors of the Federation should not be superseded. This show cause notice was issued based on the status report submitted by the Managing Director of the Federation. The charges levelled under the show cause notice were as under:—

1. And whereas, it is observed from the status report forwarded to this office vide letter No. FIN/POSITION/ACCTS/2001-02/519 dated 29-5-2001 by the Managing Director of the Federation that the Federation has suffered a loss of Rs. 122.99 lakhs for the year 2000-2001, with the result that the total paid up share capital of Rs. 19.70 lakhs including Government share capital of Rs. 17.21 lakhs has been wiped out and the Federation has eroded borrowing capacity to raise funds to continue its activities.

2. And whereas, the Government share capital of Rs. 17.21 lakhs of which Rs. 11.93 lakhs became already due for repayment to the Government as the period of 15 years of investment has already been completed and said amount has not been refunded and there is no possibility of refunding the said amount to the Government unless the financial position of the Federation is improved. The Board of Directors of the Federation has not taken any action to refund the said Government share capital as per the request of the Department, which amounts to default on the part of the Federation.

3. And whereas Bhandars/Branches opened at Navelim, Calangute, Quepem, Mapusa and Pajifond, Margao are running into heavy losses and the Board has not taken any appropriate action to close down the said Bhandars/Branches. On the contrary, the Federation opened new Branch on rental basis at Taleigao which was also closed as the same was running in losses which shows that the Board of Directors of the Federation has no interest in the better working and improvement of the Federation.

4. And whereas, Federation has incurred heavy expenditure on salaries of the staff and appropriate measures have not been taken to curtail the expenditure or steps have not been taken to undertake other types of business or to diversify the activities to increase the turnover so that the losses could be reduced. As compared to the turnover for the year 1998-99 to 2000-2001, there is no more increase in the business of the Federation. On the contrary there is substantial increase in expenditure on salaries. It is due to improper assessment or requirement and recruitment of staff. The Board of Directors have not taken any measures to set the things right in this regard.

5. And whereas, the Federation has purchased the premises admeasuring 193.5 sq. mts. and subsequently additional premises admeasuring 125 sq. mts. @ Rs. 51,000/- sq. mt. at Mapusa by obtaining loan of Rs. 162.43 lakhs from the Goa State Co-op. Bank Ltd., Panaji @ 17.0% interest. The Federation has not assessed the potentiality of increase in business and profitability before purchasing the new premises taking into consideration carrying out similar type of business by other Co-op. Institutions in the same area. As a result carrying out similar business the Federation could not increase its business volume sufficiently even to meet the expenditure to run the store and to pay the interest on loan.

6. And whereas, the Federation has purchased the land admeasuring 3444 sq. mts. @ Rs. 750/- per sq. mt. the cost of which met by borrowing Rs. 25.83 lakhs from the Goa State Co-op. Bank Ltd., for constructing godown for storing L.P.G. cylinders and failed to make proper use of it thereby suffering losses.

7. In view of the above, it is evident that the present Board of Directors are found to be negligent in performance of their duties imposed on them by the said Act and the Rules and Bye-laws of the Federation and acted in the manner which is prejudicial to the interest of the Federation, its members and creditors. It is, therefore, apprehended that if the existing affairs of the Federation are allowed to be continued, the business and functioning of the Federation is likely to come to a standstill. The undersigned is satisfied that no purpose will be served in continuing the existing Board of Directors of the Federation.

In reply to this show cause notice the respondent at Sr. 9, Shri Sahadev B. Shetye, Director nominee from the employees of the Federation filed his reply on 15-10-01 admitting that paras 1 to 8 of the show cause notice are substantiated and correct and further stating that the Management of the Federation is solely responsible for the present crisis of the Federation. The respondent at Sr. No. 4 and 8, Shri Mahadev Naik and Anand P. Naik have also filed their reply on 16-10-2001 stating that they are not responsible for the losses caused to the Federation as the objections and suggestions made by them from time to time in the Board Meeting were not considered. The remaining 6 respondents i.e. Respondents No. 1, 2, 3, 5, 6 and 7 vide their letter dated 16-10-2001 sought further time to file their reply. Respondents No. 1, 2 and 5 have filed their written reply on 24-10-01 and also the respondents No. 3, 6 and 7 filed their written reply on 24-10-2001. Thereafter the matter was posted for arguments. The respondent No. 4 and 8 tendered their resignation on 6-11-01 to the Chairman of the Board of Directors, copy of which was endorsed to this office. The respondent No. 1, 2 and 5 and 3, 6 and 7 requested to allow them to file their written arguments which they filed on 9-11-01. Thereafter the respondent No. 1, Shri Rajesh T. Patnekar also tendered his resignation on 27-11-01 in the Office of Registrar of Co-op. Societies. The R. C. S. permitted the resignation

tendered by respondent No. 1, 4 and 8 for acceptance. Therefore the matter into the show cause notice issued to all the Directors proceeded against the respondent No. 2, 3, 5, 6 and 7.

As far as nominated members on the Board of Directors at Sr. No. 9, 10 and 11 i.e. nominated by Employees Union, Goa State Co-op. Bank and by Government of Goa is concerned it is stated that out of 8 elected directors, 3 directors at Sr. No. 1, 4 and 8 have already been resigned and 5 directors at Sr. No. 2, 3, 5, 6 and 7 are removed. As such the nominated members on the Board of Directors at Sr. No. 9, 10 and 11 ceased to continue as members on the Board.

The respondent in their reply stated that the present proceedings did not fulfil the grounds required/contemplated under the provisions of Section 78 of the Act and that show cause notice was based on wrong assumption that the respondents were found to be negligent in performance of their duties imposed upon by the Act, Rules and Bye-laws of the Federation.

The first charge in the show cause notice is about the losses suffered to the Federation during the year 2000-2001 to the tune of Rs. 122.99 lakhs thereby wiping out the total paid up share capital and eroding the borrowing capacity to raise the funds to continue the activities of the Federation. This fact has not been denied by the respondent. The Federation is incurring losses continuously from the year 1999-2000 which has accumulated upto 31st March, 2001 to the tune of Rs. 2.17 crores, for which the present Board of Directors can only be held responsible.

Second charge levelled in the show cause notice is about the Government share capital of Rs. 17.21 lakhs of which Rs. 11.93 lakhs became due for repayment to the Government as the period of 15 years has already been completed and the said amount has not been refunded. To this charge the respondent replied that the Department has never requested the payment of share capital to the respondents and therefore the question of non-complying with the same does not arise. The contention of the respondents is not tenable because as per the provisions of the Act and the Rules the Federation is responsible to refund the share capital invested by the Government after statutory period prescribed by the Act and the Rules and in accordance with the sanction order. So also the present Board of Directors cannot throw away their responsibilities on the ground that the refund of Government share capital had already become due for the year 1994-95 and therefore they are not responsible. Even if it was become due prior to taking over by the present Board of Directors, the present Board of Directors also is very much responsible to make the payment of share capital to the Government.

Third charge, levelled upon is about the Bhandar/ /Branches opened at Navelim, Calangute, Quepem, Mapusa, Pajifond, Margao which are running into heavy

losses and the present Board of Directors has not taken any appropriate action to close down the said Bhandars/ /Branches. Despite all this the present Board of Directors opened one Bhandar in a premises hired on rental basis at Taleigao which was to be closed because of heavy losses. The respondent in their reply denied this charge and exhibited several documents in support of their contention that they have made efforts to increase the sale through these bhandars. All the documents annexed by the respondents are routine type of correspondence which do not substantiate the contention that true hearty efforts were undertaken by them. In fact when the Federation and its Bhandars/Branches at different locations were incurring continuous losses, it was the duty of the present Board of Directors to close it down and to diversify its business to some other commodities which they failed to do so. Further contention of the respondents that because of closer of P. D. S. system through which the Federation was supplying sugar and edible oil to the F.P.S.s., the Federation suffered heavy losses, also cannot be accepted. The Board of Directors should have diversified its activities keeping in view the present scenario of the country's economic affairs and also keeping in view the Government policies, which the Board of Directors failed to do and allowed the Federation to suffer the losses.

The fourth charge levelled at para 5 of the show cause notice is about the heavy expenditure on salaries of the staff and failure to take appropriate measures to curtail the expenditure. The Board of Directors failed to assess the actual requirements of the staff and recruited daily wage workers at different Bhandars which were retrenched later on. This shows casual approach of the Board of Directors towards the affairs of the Federation which was already incurring heavy losses. If any steps were taken by the present Board of Directors to increase the business of the Federation it should have reflected positively, but from the status report it is evident that the economic crisis of the Federation was growing day after day.

The next charge levelled at para 6 of the show cause notice is about the purchase of premises admeasuring 193.5 sq. mts. and also additional premises admeasuring 125 sq. mts. at the rate of Rs. 51,000/- per sq. mt. at Mapusa by obtaining loan of Rs. 162.43 lakhs from the Goa State Co-op. Bank at the rate of 17.0% interest. To this charge respondents stated that the Board of Directors before purchasing the premises, has prepared a feasibility report and also obtained necessary approval from the Registrar of Co-op. Societies for purchase of premises. They further stated that the performance of the Bhandars at Mapusa cannot be assessed for a short period because this Bhandar was opened in August, 2000 and it may take time to come to a stage of break even. The respondents further submitted that the RCS while granting permission to purchase these premises at Mapusa has definitely applied his mind and after satisfying himself with the feasibility report granted the permission. It is to be noted here that the RCS gives permission to the Co-op. Societies for purchase of land

and buildings only and not for opening of Bhandars, etc., Also while giving such permission the RCS takes into consideration the reports enclosed by such societies, like one submitted by the Federation while seeking permission for purchase for premises at Mapusa. It appears that the feasibility report prepared by the members of the Board of Directors was not prepared taking into consideration the ground realities i.e. competition from the other similar type of Bhandars in the vicinity of the proposed Bhandar at Mapusa. Also the present Board of Directors without waiting for the performance of the Bhandar at Mapusa decided to purchase an additional area of 125 sq. mts. which reflected in a dead investment thereby causing heavy losses to the Federation. Therefore the present Board of Directors cannot throw away its responsibility.

Next charge at para 7 of the show cause notice is about the purchase of land admeasuring 3444 sq. mts. at the rate of Rs. 750/- per sq. m. at Ponda for construction of godown for storage of L.P.G. Cylinders. The correspondence resting in this office files in respect of these matters and also in the files of the Federation reveals that the present Board of Directors have committed gross irregularities which can be termed as a criminal waste of Federation's fund. The Marketing Federation undertakes supply of LPG cylinders in and around Ponda town as a agent of BPCL. For this purpose the Federation was using the godown of P.W.D. which was not found suitable by the company and the officers of the explosive Department and therefore the Federation was asked to locate land or godown which fulfils the required specification for storage of L.P.G. Cylinders. The Federation issued advertisements on 2 to 3 occasions inviting offers from the individuals for purchase of land mentioning the requirement of 1500 sq. mts. of land but either no offers were received or offer received were not found suitable for the purpose. It is revealed from the record that the Federation initially agreed to acquire a plot in Madkaim Industrial Estate for construction of godown but this was dropped and decided to invite offers. In response to the Federation's advertisement issued in January, offer from one M/s Prabhat Real Estate received by the Federation in the month of July, 2000 was submitted to the office of the R.C.S. seeking approval. The Registrar raised some queries and asked the Federation to clarify vide its letter dated 31-8-2000. The Federation replied to this query on the same day vide their letter dated 31-8-2000. The Registrar again raised some points and sought clarification from the Federation vide its letter dated 7-9-2000. The Federation replied to this letter on the same day with the specific mention that the land will be purchased only after verifying the title documents. The permission was granted by the RCS vide letter dated 8-9-2000 and the payment of Rs. 7.74 lakhs was made by the Federation to the developer M/s Prabhat Real Estate on the same day i.e. on 8-9-2000 and agreement of sale was signed on the same day. From this it is evident that the Board of Directors were in hurry for making payment to the developer. This also shows that there was no any

examination of title of documents and all other aspects like feasibility, suitability, etc. of the land for construction of godown. Now the respondents have admitted in their reply that there is a dispute pending before the Court of Dy. Collector in respect of this land and also Town and Country Planning Board had rejected the proposal of construction of godown on this land on the ground that there is no direct accessibility from the main road to the plot. The Federation had also paid Rs. 10.00 lakhs to the developer by borrowing from the Goa State Co-op. Bank at the interest rate of Rs. 17% on 29-11-2000. Thereafter the Board of Directors in their meeting held on 30-7-01 decided to acquire a plot of land at Madkaim Industrial Estate. From all the these affairs of the Board of Directors, it is evident that the Board was interested only in making payment to the developer without ascertaining the suitability of the plot. Action of the Board of Directors thus caused loss to the Federation is sufficient to proceed against them under Section 78 of the Act.

The Goa State Co-op. Bank Ltd. which is a federal Institution has also been consulted in the matter and they have also no objection for supersession of the present Board of Directors. After careful consideration of the facts brought on record and after considering the replies filed by the respondents and written arguments filed by their Ld. Advocates, I am of the considered opinion that if the present Board is allowed to continue, the economic crisis of the Federation cannot be controlled. The present Board of Directors have completely failed to handle the situation and found to be indulged in the activities which causes heavy losses to the Federation. The present Board of Directors have proved to be negligent in performing their duties and also acted against the interest of the Federation, its members and creditors. The accumulated losses of the Federation have gone up to the tune of Rs. 2.17 crores as on today and there are no signs that these losses can be controlled or reduced by the present Board of Directors. In fact, I am of the opinion that a thorough inquiry of the affairs of the Federation is required to be initiated for fixing the responsibilities of all the Directors for all these heavy losses to the Federation.

In view of the above, I, therefore, pass the following order:—

ORDER

In exercise of the powers vested in me under sub-section (1) of Section 78 of the Maharashtra Co-op. Societies Act, 1960 as in force in the State of Goa read with sub-rule (1) of Rule 61 of the Co-op. Societies Rules, 1962, I, Shri P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies, Goa, Panaji hereby supersede the existing Board of Directors Goa Co-op. Marketing and Supply Federation Ltd., Panaji consisting of the following Directors:—

1. Shri Anant D. Vernekar
2. Shri Uday V. Madkaikar

3. Shri Premanand V. Chawdikar
4. Shri Jaiprakash V. N. Rasaikar
5. Shri Shivram R. Lotlikar

Further, in exercise of the powers vested in me under sub-clause (ii) of clause 1 of Section 78 of the aforesaid Act read with clause (b) of sub-rule (1) of Rule 61 of the aforesaid Rules hereby appoint a Committee of Administrators consisting of below mentioned persons to manage the affairs of the Federation in place of existing Board of Directors which is removed with immediate effect for a period of 6 months from the date of taking over the charge of the Federation by newly appointed Committee of Administrators:—

1. Shri Milind Kerkar, Keri, Pednem, Goa.
2. Shri Subhash Phalidesai, Kavare, Quepem, Goa.
3. Shri Anant C. Pansekar, Flat No. A-7, Above Goa Bagayatdar, Marcel, Goa.
4. Shri Rajesh T. Patnekar, House No. 34, Bhailipeth, Bhicholim, Goa 403 103.
5. Shri Ravindra Fogeri, Mapusa, Goa.
6. Shri Bhikru Ganesh Velip, Morpirla, Fatorpa, via Cuncolim, Goa.

The Committee of Administrators shall take necessary steps to hold the elections of the Board of Directors of the said Goa Co-op. Marketing and Supply Federation Ltd., Panaji at the earliest possible.

Given under my hand and seal of this office on this 5th day of December, 2001.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies, Goa.

Panaji, 5th December, 2001.

Department of Finance

Revenue & Expenditure Division

Notification

No. 5/7/2002-Fin (R&C) (1)

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 10A of the Goa Sales Tax Act, 1964 (Act 4 of 1964) and all other powers enabling it in that behalf, the Government of Goa, hereby amends the Government Notification No. 5/10/2000-Fin (R&C) (18) dated 14-11-2000, published in the Official Gazette (Extraordinary No. 3), Series II No. 32, dated 14th November, 2000 (hereinafter called the "said Notification"), as follows:—

In the said Notification, for the expression "Department of Telecommunications, Government of India" against serial number (2), the expression

"Department of Telecommunications, Government of India/Bharat Sanchar Nigam Ltd." shall be substituted.

This Notification shall come into force with immediate effect.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Yvonne Cunha, Under Secretary (Fin-Exp.)

Panaji, 22nd March, 2002.

Notification

No. 5/7/2002-Fin (R&C) (2)

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (5) of section 8 of the Central Sales Tax Act, 1956 (Central Act 74 of 1956) and all other powers enabling it in that behalf, the Government of Goa, hereby amends the Government Notification No. 5/10/2000-Fin (R&C) (13) dated 14th November 2000, published in the Official Gazette, (Extraordinary No. 3), Series II No. 32, dated 14th November, 2000 (hereinafter called the "said Notification"), as follows:—

In the said Notification, for the expression "Department of Telecommunications, Government of India" against serial number (2), the expression "Department of Telecommunications, Government of India/Bharat Sanchar Nigam Ltd" shall be substituted.

This Notification shall come into force with immediate effect.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Yvonne Cunha, Under Secretary (Fin-Exp.)

Panaji, 22nd March, 2002.

Notification

No. 5/7/2002-Fin (R&C) (3)

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 10A of the Goa Sales Tax Act, 1964 (Act 4 of 1964) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act") and in supersession of the notification No. 5/3/2002-Fin (R&C) (1) dated 11th February, 2002, published in the Official Gazette, Series II No. 46, dated 14th February, 2002, the Government of Goa, having considered it necessary so to do in the public interest, hereby exempts the sales of electrically operated vehicles including battery powered or fuel cell powered vehicles from the payment of sales tax payable under the said Act.

This Notification shall come into force with immediate effect.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Yvonne Cunha, Under Secretary (Fin-Exp.)

Panaji, 22nd March, 2002.

Department of General Administration

Notification

No. 1/2/2001-GAD-H

The Government of Goa is pleased to declare the 30-3-2002, Saturday a full working day for all Government Departments/Offices throughout the State of Goa including the Goa Sadan and Goa Bhavan in order to complete the financial transaction as there are consecutive holidays occurring towards close of financial year.

In view of above Government further decide that the State employee who worked on 30-3-2002 shall be entitled a Restricted Holiday during the current calendar year.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

M. F. Tendulkar, Under Secretary (GA).

Panaji, 27th March, 2002.

Department of Mines

Order

No. 5/20/98-Mines (Part)

In exercise of the powers conferred under clause (d) of sub-rule (1) of rule 27 of Mineral Concession rules 1960, the Government of Goa specify the surface rent with effect from 1-4-2002 until further orders shall be at the rate of Rs. 150/- per hectare.

Charles D'Souza, Joint Secretary (Mines).

Panaji, 14th March, 2002.

Directorate of Industries & Mines

Order

No. 96/341/88-Mines/2346

(In the matter of renewal of Mining Lease of the Applicants M/s. S. Kantilal & Co. Pvt. Ltd. by application dated 21-11-1988)

M/s S. Kantilal & Co. Pvt. Ltd. of Margao Goa were holders of erstwhile mining concession named "Paicatembo e adjacentes" for iron and manganese ore granted under title of concession No. 49 dated 12-9-1958 over an area of 29.5150 Ha of land situated in Bati village of Sanguem taluka. Consequent upon the enactment of the Goa, Daman and Diu Mining Concessions (Abolition and Declaration as Mining Leases) Act, 1987 the above mining concession stood abolished and declared as mining lease under Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act, 1957 and the rules made thereunder.

On 22-11-88 M/s. S. Kantilal & Co. Pvt. Ltd. of Margao Goa (hereinafter referred to as the applicants) applied for renewal of mining lease for a further period. By a notice bearing No. 96/341/88-Mines/369 dated 14-2-89 under Rule 26(3) of Mineral Concession Rules 1960 the applicants were called upon to furnish the approved mining plan. As there was failure on the part of the applicants to submit the approved mining plan within the stipulated period, the application for renewal of the above mining lease was rejected by the Government by an order bearing No. 5/17/89-ILD dated 25-4-1990. Being aggrieved by the order of rejection, the applicants filed a revision application before the Central Government and by a common order No. 18/93 dated 17-6-93, the Central Government set aside the order of rejection with a direction to the State Government to reconsider the renewal application for disposal on merits and in accordance with law.

The applicants by a notice bearing No. 96/341/88-Mines/6696 dated 25-9-98 were called upon to furnish the following documents :—

1. Valid clearance certificate in terms of Rule 22(3) (d) of Mineral Concession Rules 1960.
2. Statement of consent towards surface rights in terms of Rule 22(3) (h) of Mineral Concession Rules 1960.
3. Copy of plan of existing deemed mining lease.
4. Copy of Cadastral survey plan super imposed on deemed lease.
5. Record of rights in Form I & XIV.

As none of the above documents were submitted, a notice bearing No. 96/341/88-Mines/2983 dated 2-3-01 was issued to the applicants fixing the date for personal hearing on 27-3-01 which notice was duly received by the applicants. The applicants however by their letter dated 27-3-2001 requested for 6 months time. The request was duly considered and the matter was adjourned to 23-4-01. The matter was thereafter adjourned to 22-5-01, 27-6-01, 27-8-01, 17-1-01, 29-10-01, 29-11-01, 7-1-02, 25-2-02 and finally on 13-3-02. The applicants failed to effect the payment of the outstanding dues and submit the valid clearance certificate. I am therefore left with no other alternative but to decide the case on merits.

I have gone through the records of the case. It is seen that the application for renewal of mining lease was submitted in the year 1988 namely about 13 years back without proper documents as required under rule 22 of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960. The application for renewal of mining lease was rejected earlier by the Government vide Order dated 25-4-90 which was set aside by the Central Government on 17-6-93 in the revision application filed by the applicants with a direction to the State Government to dispose of the application for renewal of mining lease on merit and in

accordance to law. Subsequent to the passing of the order by the Revisional Authority around 8 years have passed and regrettably the valid clearance certificate which is required under rule 22 of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960 has not been submitted. A statutory notice as required under rule 26(3) of Mineral Concession Rules 1960 was issued to the applicants on 25-9-98 and despite this notice the applicants did not bother to take any effective steps to submit the same. Finally, a notice for personal hearing was issued on 2-3-01 which was received by the applicants. However, till date the applicant has failed to clear all the mining dues and produce the valid clearance certificate.

It is also seen from available records that the mining lease is not in operation for the last 18 years. In the circumstances mentioned above, it is abundantly clear that sufficient opportunities were given to the applicants to submit the valid clearance certificate as required under rule 22 of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960. Since the applicants have failed to produce the same as on date, I have no other alternative but to reject the application.

Now, therefore, I, Shri J. B. Singh, Director of Industries and Mines in exercise of powers conferred upon me under sub rule (1) of rule 26 of Mineral Concession Rules 1960 in pursuance of Notification No. 5/80/89-Mines dt. 22-12-00 hereby reject the application of M/s S. Kantilal & Co. Pvt. Ltd. for renewal of mining lease.

J. B. Singh, Director of Industries and Mines.

Panaji, 19th March, 2002.

Order

No. 96/257/88-Mines/2347

(In the matter of renewal of Mining Lease of the Applicants M/s. S. Kantilal & Co. Pvt. Ltd. by application dated 21-11-1988)

M/s S. Kantilal & Co. Pvt. Ltd. of Margao Goa were holders of erstwhile mining concession named "Metacodil Anvalitembo" for iron and manganese ore granted under title of concession No. 35 dated 5-5-1953 over an area of 30.5840 Ha of land situated in Vaddem/ /Sigonem village of Sanguem taluka. Consequent upon the enactment of the Goa, Daman and Diu Mining Concessions (Abolition and Declaration as Mining Leases) Act, 1987 the above mining concession stood abolished and declared as mining lease under Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act, 1957 and the rules made thereunder.

On 22-11-88 M/s. S. Kantilal & Co. Pvt. Ltd. of Margao Goa (hereinafter referred to as the applicants) applied for renewal of mining lease for a further period. By a notice bearing No. 96/257/88-Mines/384 dated 14-2-89 under Rule 26(3) of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960

applicants were called upon to furnish the approved mining plan. As there was failure on the part of the applicants to submit the approved mining plan within the stipulated period, the application for renewal of the above mining lease was rejected by the Government by an order bearing No. 5/17/89-ILD dated 25-4-1990. Being aggrieved by the order of rejection, the applicants filed a revision application before the Central Government and by a common order No. 18/93 dated 17-6-93, the Central Government set aside the order of rejection with a direction to the State Government to reconsider the renewal application for disposal on merits and in accordance with law.

The applicants by a notice bearing No. 96/257/88-Mines/7279 dated 12-10-98 were called upon to furnish the following documents :—

1. Valid clearance certificate in terms of Rule 22(3) (d) of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960.
2. Statement of consent towards surface rights in terms of Rule 22(3) (h) of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960.
3. copy of plan of existing deemed mining lease.
4. Copy of Cadastral survey plan super imposed on deemed lease.
5. Record of rights in Form I & XIV.
6. Articles of Memorandum.

As none of the above documents were submitted, a notice bearing No. 96/257/88-Mines/2984 dated 2-3-01 was issued to the applicants fixing the date for personal hearing on 23-3-01 which notice was duly received by the applicants. The applicants however by their letter dated 23-3-2001 requested for 6 months time. The matter was thereafter adjourned to 30-7-01, 4-6-01, 10-7-01, 28-8-01, 28-9-01, 5-11-01, 10-12-01, 14-1-02, 25-2-02 and finally on 13-3-02. The applicants failed to effect the payment of the outstanding dues and submit the valid clearance certificate. I am therefore left with no other alternative-but to decided the case on merits.

I have gone through the records of the case. It is seen that the application for renewal of mining lease was submitted in the year 1988 namely about 13 years back without proper documents as required under rule 22 of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960. The application for renewal of mining lease was rejected earlier by the Government vide Order dated 25-4-90 which was set aside by the Central Government on 17-6-93 in the revision application filed by the applicants with a direction to the State Government to dispose of the application for renewal of mining lease on merit and in accordance to law. Subsequent to the passing of the order by the Revisional Authority around 8 years have passed and regrettably the valid clearance certificate which is required under rule 22 of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960 has not been submitted. A statutory notice as required under rule 26(3) of Mineral Concession Rules

1960 was issued to the applicants on 12-10-98 and despite this notice the applicants did not bother to take any effective steps to submit the same. Finally, a notice for personal hearing was issued on 2-3-01 which was received by the applicants. However, till date the applicant has failed to clear all the mining dues and produce the valid clearance certificate.

It is also seen from available records that the mining lease is not in operation for the last 40 years. In the circumstances mentioned above, it is abundantly clear that sufficient opportunities were given to the applicants to submit the valid clearance certificate as required under rule 22 of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960. Since the applicants have failed to produce the same as on date, I have no other alternative but to reject the application.

Now, therefore, I, Shri J. B. Singh, Director of Industries and Mines in exercise of powers conferred upon me under sub rule (1) of rule 26 of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960 in pursuance of Notification No. 5/80/89-Mines dt. 22-12-00 hereby reject the application of M/s S. Kantilal & Co. Pvt. Ltd. for renewal of mining lease.

J. B. Singh, Director of Industries and Mines.

Panaji, 19th March, 2002.

Order

No. 96/24/97-Mines/2367

Late Shri Gopinath Sinai Gharse of Margao-Goa was a holder of an erstwhile mining concession named 'Marvandega e Ter. Adjts' granted under title of concession No. 8 dated 9-5-1959 for iron and manganese ore over an area of 90 Ha. of land in village Dharbandora of Sanguem taluka.

Consequent upon the enactment of the Goa, Daman and Diu Mining Concessions (Abolition and Declaration as Mining Leases) Act, 1987, the above concession stood abolished and declared as a mining lease under the Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act, 1957.

On 18-11-1996, Shri Shripad G. Gharse claiming to be the legal heir of the concession holder filed his application for renewal of mining lease for further period. The delay in submitting the renewal application was however condoned by the State Government which was communicated to Shri Gharse on 2-5-1997.

On 12-1-2001 a notice under Rule 26(3) of M.C.R. 1960 was issued to Shri Gharse calling upon him to furnish various documents mentioned in the said notice within a period of 60 days failing which the application for renewal of mining lease was liable to be rejected. In response to the said notice Shri Gharse submitted certain documents except the valid clearance certificate and the approved mining plan.

On account of failure on the part of Shri Gharse to submit these documents a personal hearing was fixed on 11-3-2002 to show cause as to why an order should not be issued rejecting the application for renewal of mining lease. On the said date Shri Gharse however submitted a letter stating that he desires to relinquish or surrender the rights over the area in question. In view of the said letter the application for renewal of mining lease is therefore allowed to be withdrawn. Shri Gharse however is hereby called upon to effect the payment of the outstanding mining dues for which necessary action shall be taken by the Department to carry out the assessment and raise the demand separately.

J. B. Singh, Director of Industries and Mines.

Panaji, 21st March, 2002.

Department of Personnel

Order

No. 13/6/2002-PER (C)

Shri K. N. S. Nair, Director of Municipal Administration, who relinquished the charge on superannuation is re-employed on contract basis for a period of one year w.e.f. 1-3-2002.

2. Shri Nair shall draw emoluments as per rules.

3. His appointment is subject to his executing the contract specifying the terms and conditions of his re-employment.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

D. M. Borkar, Under Secretary (Personnel).

Panaji, 28th February, 2002.

Order

No. 6/9/91-PER

The Governor of Goa is pleased to appoint Shri R. P. Pal, Director of Technical Education as Joint Chief Electoral Officer, Panaji, with immediate effect, thereby relieving Shri D. N. Kamble, General Manager, District Industries Centre, Panaji, of the additional charge.

Shri Sanjit Rodrigues, Dy. Collector & S.D.O., South, shall hold the charge of the post of Assistant Chief Electoral Officer, in addition to his own duties and until further orders, thereby relieving Shri S. Y. Bhikoji.

Shri S. Y. Bhikoji, who is under orders of transfer, shall report at once as Chief Officer, Bicholim Municipal Council, Bicholim.

The additional charge of the post of Assistant Chief Electoral Officer given to Shri Sanjiv Gadkar, under Secretary (Revenue) vide Order No. 6/4/91-PER/Part II dated 22-2-2002 is treated as cancelled.

S/Shri Pal and Rodrigues shall report to the Chief Electoral Officer, at once.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

D. M. Borkar, Under Secretary (Personnel).

Panaji, 21st March, 2002.

Order

No. 19/13/99-PER

Read:- Order No. 19/13/99-PER dated 19-3-2002.

Consequent upon deputation of Shri S. K. Tewari, Director of Planning, Statistics and Evaluation, Panaji to attend the course on Government Finance Statistics which will be held at the International Monetary Fund Institute in Washington D. C. from March 25, 2002 to May 3, 2002, Shri Rajib Sen, IES, Joint Secretary (Budget), Finance Department shall hold, with immediate effect, the charge of Director of Planning, Statistics & Evaluation, in addition to his own duties, until further orders.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

D. M. Borkar, Under Secretary (Personnel).

Panaji, 22nd March, 2002.

Order

No. 6/9/91-PER (Vol.II)

Shri R. Mihir Vardhan, Officer in Junior Administrative Grade of Goa Civil Service, presently posted as Director of Settlement & Land Records, is appointed, with immediate effect, as Administrator of Sanjivani Sahakari Sakhar Karkhana Ltd., Dayanandnagar, Tiska, Goa, in addition to his own duties, until further orders.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

D. M. Borkar, Under Secretary (Personnel).

Panaji, 26th March, 2002.

Order

No. 7/12/98-PER

On completion of her training in Indira Gandhi National Forest Academy, Dehradun and on her allotment to State of Goa, vide Government of India, Ministry of Environment and Forests Order No. A. 12025/1/2001-IFS-I dated 12-2-2002 Ms. Shalini Raina, IFS (Probationer) is appointed as Asstt. Conservator of Forest against one of the vacant posts of Asstt. Conservator of Forests, in the Forest Department, Panaji, with effect from the date she reports for duty to this Government.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

D. M. Borkar, Under Secretary (Personnel).

Panaji, 26th March, 2002.

Department of Public Health

Order

No. 8/77/91-I/PHD

Sanction of Government is hereby conveyed to Dr. Sheena Emmanuel, Public Health Dentist, under the Directorate of Health Services for five years extraordinary leave w.e.f. 20-3-2002 to 19-3-2007 to seek private employment abroad in terms of Notification No. 2/5/95-PER dated 31-1-2000.

Her absense during extraordinary leave of five years will be regularised in terms of the provisions contained in the Notification dated 31-1-2000 as mentioned above.

Dr. Sheena Emmanuel is also permitted to leave the country to proceed to Canada for private employment.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

B. T. Mahale, Under Secretary (Health).

Panaji, 22nd March, 2002.

Order

No. 21/30/97/DHS

In pursuance of rule 65 of the Central Civil Services (Leave) Rules, 1972, the Government of Goa hereby grants to Dr. Ulhas Sawkar, Jr. Orthopaedic Surgeon, Asilo Hospital, Mapusa, under the Directorate of Health Services, extraordinary leave for the period from 2-5-99 to 16-12-2001, for study purpose, in relaxation of rule 32 of the said Rules.

Certified that Dr. Ulhas Sawkar, would have continued to officiate as Jr. Orthopaedic Surgeon, in the Directorate of Health Services during the leave period, but for his proceeding on the said extraordinary leave for study purpose.

This issues with the concurrence of Personnel Department vide their U. O. No. Personnel Department/10742 dated 20-12-2000.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

B. T. Mahale, Under Secretary (Health).

Panaji, 25th March, 2002.

Department of Public Works

Office of the Chief Engineer

Order

No. 40/2/2002/CE-PWD-ADM(II)/40

Government is pleased to Order the transfer of Shri K. P. Nambiar, Superintending Engineer, Circle Office IV,

PWD, Margao as Superintending Engineer, C.O.VI, PWD, Altinho-Panaji relieving Shri J. N. Ambikar Superintending Engineer, Circle Office VIII, PWD, Margao of additional charge.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

P. P. Borkar, Chief Engineer, PWD & Ex-Officio Addl. Secretary.

Panaji, 26th March, 2002.

Department of Revenue

Order

No. 35/1/2001-RD(4963)

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 9 of the Indian Stamp Act, 1899 (Central Act 2 of 1899) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act"), the Government of Goa is pleased to remit, for a period of three years, the duties with which the instrument of sale deed is chargeable under the said Act, when such instrument is executed in favour of the housing society registered in any part of India under the Societies Registration Act, 1860 and having object of constructing dwelling units, for defence service personnel and their widows, on purely no profit no loss basis, and whose members are serving actively in the armed forces or retired defence service personnel or their widows.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

M. B. Kumthekar, Joint Secretary (Revenue).

Panaji, 26th March, 2002.

Department of Sports & Youth Affairs

Directorate of Sports

Corrigendum

No. 8/39/87/Sports/Vol. II/4000

Read: i) Government order No. 8/37/87/Sports (Part file)/3186 dated 29-11-2000.

ii) Corrigendum No. 8/39/87/Sports/Vol. II dated 2-11-2001.

In the above cited order of the reconstitution of the General Body of the Sports Authority of Goa the name of

Dr. Vinayakumar Pai Raikar is now substituted and be read at Sr. No. 15 as an Expert/Promoter in the field of Sports as member of SAG in place of Shri Jaising Maganlal whose name stands deleted from the above order dated 29-11-2000.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

V. M. Prabhu Desai, Director of Sports/Jt. Secretary & Ex-Officio.

Panaji, 26th March, 2002.

Department of Water Resources

Office of the Chief Engineer

Order

No. 3/25-4/87-WR/1443

Government is pleased to order transfer/posting of Kum. Mohinibai K., Assistant Surveyor of Works from the Office of the Superintending Engineer, Circle Office II, Goa Tillari Irrigation Development Corporation, Colvale to the Office of the Superintending Engineer, C.P.O., Water Resources Department, Panaji as Asstt. Surveyor of Works in the existing vacancy with immediate effect.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

S. D. Sayanak, Chief Engineer (WR) & Ex-Officio Addl. Secretary.

Panaji, 7th March, 2002.

Corrigendum

No. 74-1-82/CE-WR/Adm. II/1461

Read: This Office order No. 74-1-82/CE-WR/Adm. II/1385 dated 25-2-2002.

In this office order cited above, the date in the fifth line of 1st para may please be read as 1-5-2002 (B. N.) instead of 1-4-2002 (B. N.).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

S. D. Sayanak, Chief Engineer (WR) & Ex-Officio Addl. Secretary.

Panaji, 11th March, 2002.